



An H α Impression of Ly α Galaxies at $z \simeq 6$ with Deep JWST/NIRCam Imaging

Yuanhang Ning¹ , Zheng Cai¹ , Linhua Jiang^{2,3} , Xiaojing Lin¹ , Shuqi Fu^{2,3} , and Daniele Spinoso¹ ¹ Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China; ningyhphy@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn, zcaim@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn² Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China³ Department of Astronomy, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

Received 2022 November 24; revised 2023 January 4; accepted 2023 January 12; published 2023 February 8

Abstract

We present a study of seven spectroscopically confirmed (Ly α -emitting) galaxies at redshift $z \simeq 6$ using the JWST/NIRCam imaging data. These galaxies, with a wide range of Ly α luminosities, were recently observed in a series of NIRCam broad and medium bands. We constrain the rest-frame UV/optical continua and measure the H α line emission of the galaxies using the combination of JWST/NIRCam and archival HST/WFC3 infrared photometry. We further estimate the escape fractions of their Ly α photons ($f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$) and the production efficiency of ionizing photons (ξ_{ion}). Among the sample, six out of seven galaxies have Ly α escape fractions of $\lesssim 10\%$, which might be the status for most of the star-forming galaxies at $z \simeq 6$. One UV-faint Ly α galaxy with an extremely blue UV slope has a large value of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ reaching $\simeq 50\%$. These galaxies have a broad range of ξ_{ion} over $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0}$ (Hz erg^{-1}) ~ 25.0 – 26.5 . We find that UV-fainter galaxies with bluer UV-continuum slopes likely have higher escape fractions of Ly α photons. We also find that galaxies with higher Ly α line emission tend to produce ionizing photons more efficiently. The most Ly α -luminous galaxy in the sample has a very high $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ of $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0}$ (Hz erg^{-1}) > 26 . Our results support the scenario that Ly α galaxies may have served as an important contributor to cosmic reionization. Blue and bright Ly α galaxies are excellent targets for JWST follow-up spectroscopic observations.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: High-redshift galaxies (734); Lyman-alpha galaxies (978); Galaxy properties (615); Reionization (1383); James Webb Space Telescope (2291)

1. Introduction

The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST; Gardner et al. 2006) has begun to explore the very distant universe, allowing us to gain deep insight into the high-redshift (high- z) objects at the epoch of reionization (EoR). The major sources of reionization are presumably star-forming (SF) galaxies (e.g., Robertson et al. 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2019; Yung et al. 2020a). This viewpoint has been conclusively demonstrated by Jiang et al. (2022). As a population of SF galaxies, Ly α -emitting galaxies are generally low mass with low metallicity and dust content (e.g., Hao et al. 2018; Arrabal Haro et al. 2020; Santos et al. 2020). They should play a nonnegligible role in driving reionization because the processes responsible for the emission of Ly α and Lyman-continuum (LyC) photons relate to each other (e.g., Dijkstra 2014; Verhamme et al. 2015; de Barros et al. 2016; Dijkstra et al. 2016) while the Ly α escape fraction ($f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$) generally exceeds LyC escape fraction ($f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}}$; e.g., Izotov et al. 2016; Leitherer et al. 2016; Shapley et al. 2016; Verhamme et al. 2017; Izotov et al. 2020; Flury et al. 2022).

Large ground-based telescopes and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) helped us find a large number of Ly α -emitting galaxies with redshift reaching $z \gtrsim 6$ – 7 , corresponding to the end of EoR. Most of them are Ly α emitters (LAEs) selected by the narrowband (Ly α) technique (e.g., Kashikawa et al. 2006; Hu et al. 2010; Kashikawa et al. 2011; Shibuya et al. 2018; Taylor et al. 2021). The rest of them are Lyman-break galaxies (LBGs) selected by the dropout technique and

identified by Ly α lines (e.g., Steidel et al. 1996; Jones et al. 2012; Inami et al. 2017; Pentericci et al. 2018). The LAEs and LBGs (with Ly α lines) are probably indistinguishable in terms of their intrinsic properties such as age, stellar mass, and star formation rate (SFR; e.g., Dayal & Ferrara 2012; Jiang et al. 2016; de La Vieuville et al. 2020). We thus call both of them Ly α galaxies in the following text.

To understand how SF galaxies contribute to the ionizing photon budget, their rest-frame optical properties (continua and line emission) are necessary to constrain. However, this task was difficult to execute before the JWST era, especially for $z \simeq 6$ galaxies. For example, due to a lack of near-/mid-infrared (IR) bands, it is challenging to break the degeneracy between prominent nebular emission from young galaxies and strong Balmer breaks from old galaxies (e.g., Schaerer & de Barros 2009; Jiang et al. 2016). On the other hand, even if the galaxies are spectroscopically confirmed (by Ly α line for instance) at $z \simeq 6$, the optical emission lines (mainly [O III] + H β and H α + [N II]) simultaneously boost the IRAC1 and IRAC2 channels of Spitzer Space Telescope (e.g., Faisst et al. 2016; Harikane et al. 2018; Stefanon et al. 2021), leaving lines and continua coupled together. Such problems are being solved in the current JWST era.

To build a large and homogeneous sample of high- z galaxies, we have carried out the Magellan M2FS spectroscopic survey to identify LAEs and LBGs at $z \simeq 6$ (Jiang et al. 2017; Ning et al. 2020, 2022; S. Fu et al. 2023, in preparation). A fraction of them will be covered by the upcoming JWST imaging survey, such as COSMOS-Webb (GO 1727; Kartaltepe et al. 2021; Casey et al. 2022) and PRIMER (GO 1837; Dunlop et al. 2021). Multiple IR bands can reveal their individual properties in detail. Recently, one of our identified LBGs has been covered by parallel JWST/NIRCam imaging of

Table 1
Basic Information and Photometry of the Ly α Galaxy Sample at $z \simeq 6$

ID	SC-1	SC-2	SC-3	SC-4	SC-5	SC-6	SC-7
R.A. (J2000.0)	02:17:43.25	02:17:48.31	02:17:25.12	03:32:28.19	03:32:36.47	03:32:38.28	03:32:39.06
Decl. (J2000.0)	-05:06:47.5	-05:10:31.7	-05:11:35.0	-27:48:18.7	-27:46:41.4	-27:46:17.2	-27:45:38.7
Redshift $z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$	6.087	5.810	6.297	5.939	5.938	6.108	5.916
CANDELS field	(UDS) ^a	UDS	UDS	GOODS-S	GOODS-S	GOODS-S	GOODS-S
JWST ObsID	PRIMER-o022	PRIMER-o022	PRIMER-o014	UDF-MB	UDF-MB	UDF-MB	UDF-MB
F090W (mag)	26.58 \pm 0.07	26.02 \pm 0.04
F105W (mag)	26.15 \pm 0.06	25.76 \pm 0.03	26.31 \pm 0.03	27.64 \pm 0.07
F115W (mag)	26.56 \pm 0.07	25.56 \pm 0.03
F125W (mag)	...	25.56 \pm 0.09	26.39 \pm 0.17	26.15 \pm 0.07	25.70 \pm 0.02	26.35 \pm 0.02	27.73 \pm 0.06
F150W (mag)	26.76 \pm 0.08	25.30 \pm 0.02
F160W (mag)	...	25.49 \pm 0.10	26.51 \pm 0.16	26.04 \pm 0.07	25.70 \pm 0.02	26.32 \pm 0.02	28.06 \pm 0.08
F182M (mag)	26.32 \pm 0.01	26.20 \pm 0.01	26.32 \pm 0.01	27.46 \pm 0.02
F210M (mag)	26.21 \pm 0.01	26.07 \pm 0.01	26.28 \pm 0.01	27.15 \pm 0.02
F200W (mag)	26.57 \pm 0.07	25.22 \pm 0.02
F277W (mag)	26.20 \pm 0.04	24.80 \pm 0.01	25.97 \pm 0.03
F356W (mag)	25.06 \pm 0.01	24.57 \pm 0.01	25.21 \pm 0.01
F410M (mag)	25.93 \pm 0.07	24.66 \pm 0.02	26.26 \pm 0.09
F444W (mag)	24.73 \pm 0.02	24.53 \pm 0.02	25.67 \pm 0.04
F430M (mag)	26.42 \pm 0.09	26.03 \pm 0.06	26.27 \pm 0.08	27.67 \pm 0.34
F460M (mag)	25.06 \pm 0.03	24.56 \pm 0.02	25.51 \pm 0.04	25.80 \pm 0.07
F480M (mag)	26.31 \pm 0.09	25.92 \pm 0.06	25.79 \pm 0.05	>27.62 ^b

Notes.

^a The parentheses indicate that SC-1 is located close to the CANDELS UDS imaging region.

^b This value corresponds to a 3σ upper limit.

PRIMER MIRI observations. In this work, we compare it with spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at $z \simeq 6$ from previous literature (Pentericci et al. 2018) as a pilot investigation into the H α properties of luminous Ly α galaxies.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly present the sample of Ly α galaxies, JWST/NIRCam imaging observations, data reduction, and photometry. In Section 3, we give the measurement results of the Ly α -, ultraviolet- (UV-), and H α -related properties of the galaxy sample. We discuss their Ly α escape fractions and ionizing photon production efficiencies in Section 4. We summarize this work in Section 5. Throughout the paper, we use a standard flat cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. All magnitudes refer to the AB system (Oke 1974).

2. Sample and Data

In this section, we describe our sample of Ly α galaxies at $z \simeq 6$, JWST/NIRCam imaging observations, data reduction, and photometry. We summarize the sample information in Table 1.

2.1. Sample of Ly α Galaxies at $z \simeq 6$

The sample includes seven spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at $z \simeq 6$. The first one (SC-1) is confirmed at redshift $z = 6.087$ with a strong Ly α line by our spectroscopic survey (see the upper panel of Figure 1; S. Fu et al. 2023, in preparation). In this survey, we carried out spectroscopic observations using the fiber-fed, multiobject spectrograph Michigan/Magellan Fiber System (M2FS; Mateo et al. 2012) on the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope. The science goal is to build a large and homogeneous sample of high- z galaxies (see Jiang et al. 2017 for an overview of the program), including LAEs at $z \approx 5.7$ and 6.6 (Ning et al. 2020, 2022) and LBGs at




Figure 1. Upper panel: the spectrum of the Ly α galaxy (SC-1 with $z_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 6.087$) confirmed by our Magellan M2FS spectroscopic survey. The vertical dashed line marks the observed Ly α wavelength. The shaded region represents the $\pm 1\sigma$ noise level. Lower panel: the redshift-H α luminosity distribution of the sample in this work and the transmission curves of the five JWST/NIRCam filters. The highest data point corresponds to our confirmed galaxy (SC-1) shown in the upper panel.

$5.5 < z < 6.8$ (S. Fu et al. 2023, in preparation). These high- z galaxies are located in famous fields, including the Subaru XMM-Newton Deep Survey (SXDS), the Extended Chandra

Deep Field-South (ECDFS), A370, COSMOS, and SSA22. The total sky area is around 2 deg^2 . SC-1 has an estimated $\text{Ly}\alpha$ equivalent width (EW) of $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha) \gtrsim 100 \text{ \AA}$. It is thus one of the largest- $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ LBGs in a wide area covered by our spectroscopic survey.

The other six sources (SC-2–7) are from previous work (Pentericci et al. 2018, hereafter P18). They used VLT/FORS2 to conduct the CANDELSz7 survey, an ESO Large Program, to spectroscopically confirm SF galaxies at $z \gtrsim 6$ in three HST CANDELS Legacy fields (GOODS-South, UDS, and COSMOS). SC-2 and SC-3 are located in the CANDELS UDS field, while SC-4–7 is located in the CANDELS GOODS-South (GOODS-S) field. Among them, SC-2 was detected with no emission line and a continuum discontinuity which is interpreted as a $\text{Ly}\alpha$ break (see P18). Due to the limited sensitivity of instruments and depth of observations, we treat this source as a $\text{Ly}\alpha$ galaxy with an upper limit of $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ from P18. Figure 1 shows the redshift distribution of the sample in the lower panel.

2.2. Imaging Data

The three sources SC-1–3 in/around the CANDELS UDS field are covered by the JWST Cycle-1 program (GO 1837), Public Release IMaging for Extragalactic Research (PRIMER; Dunlop et al. 2021). The PRIMER survey pays attention to the two key equatorial HST CANDELS Fields (COSMOS and UDS) by delivering 10-band NIRCam+MIRI imaging observations. It owns the parallel NIRCam imaging in eight bands, including F090W, F115W, F150W, and F200W in the short wavelength (SW), and F277W, F356W, F444W, and F410M in the long wavelength (LW). Note that the F410M – F444W color is a good indication of the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission of the SF galaxies at $z \sim 5$ –6.6. SC-1–3 have been imaged by PRIMER #14 and #22 observations with individual exposure lengths of $\sim 1.9 \text{ hr}$ in the SW bands and $\sim 0.5 \text{ hr}$ in the LW bands.

The other four sources, SC-4–7, are covered by the JWST Cycle-1 program (GO 1963), the UDF medium-band survey (UDF-MB; Williams et al. 2021). The UDF-MB survey images the (Hubble) Ultra Deep Field (UDF) with a single NIRCam pointing (field of view $\sim 2 \times 2'2 \times 2'2$) in a series of medium bands including F182M, F210M, F430M, F460M, and F480M (NIRISS F430M and F480M in parallel). The total integration time reaches $\sim 7.8 \text{ hr}$ for F182M, F210M, and F480M and $\sim 3.9 \text{ hr}$ for F430M and F460M. In Figure 1, we plot the transmission curves of the five JWST/NIRCam LW filters to compare and illustrate the $\text{H}\alpha$ locations of the galaxies in the observed wavelength frame.

Except for SC-1 and 2, other sources are not covered by enough JWST SW bands, especially SC-3, which is located in the gap region of NIRCam SW imaging. We thus utilize the archival HST/WFC3 (Wide Field Camera 3) near-IR imaging data from the CANDELS program (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2013; Galametz et al. 2013). We download the data products provided by the High Level Science Products.⁴ SC-2 and SC-3 have HST/WFC3 F125W and F160W observations while SC-1 is a little bit outside the CANDELS UDS region. SC-4–7 in the CANDELS GOODS-S region have HST/WFC3 observations in three near-IR bands (F105W, F125W, and F160W).

2.3. Data Reduction and Photometry

We reduced the NIRCam imaging data with the standard JWST pipeline⁵ (v1.7.2) up to stage 2 using the reference files “jwst_0999.pmap” for PRIMER and “jwst_1008.pmap” for UDF-MB. Then we use the Grizli⁶ reduction pipeline to process the output images. Grizli mitigates $1/f$ noises and masks the “snowball” artifacts from cosmic rays (Rigby et al. 2022). It further converts the world coordinate system (WCS) information in the headers to the SIP format for each exposure so that images can be drizzled and combined with Astrodrizzle.⁷ For the SW and LW images, the WCS of final mosaics are registered based on the catalogs of DESI Legacy Imaging Surveys Data Release 9 and the pixel scale was resampled to $0''.03$ with $\text{pixfrac} = 0.8$. We also subtract an additional background on the final mosaics. Figure 2(a) shows the thumbnail images of the sample in a series of the JWST/NIRCam (and/or HST/WFC3 near-IR) bands.

We run SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to perform photometry in the JWST/NIRCam multiband images. The aperture has a radius of triple FWHMs of the point-spread function (PSF) in each wavelength band. The aperture correction is calculated from the PSF in each band. We first obtain initial measurements by matching the output catalogs to the targets within a distance tolerance of an FWHM. For each target, we select its brightest band to feed the detection image. Specifically, we adopt the F444W band for three sources covered by the PRIMER survey and the F460W band for the four sources covered by the UDF-MB survey because their $\text{H}\alpha$ lines boost these bands. We then rerun SExtractor in the dual image mode with the detection images. For each measurement image, we also adopt an aperture with a radius of triple PSF FWHMs in this band. Only for the SC-7 source, we use a radius of 1.5 PSF FWHM, in order to minimize the amount of abnormal pixels within the photometric aperture, caused by the fact that SC-7 is located very close to the image edge. Table 1 lists the multiband photometry results of the galaxy sample.

3. Results

In this section, we give the measured results of UV and $\text{H}\alpha$ quantities of the galaxy sample. Their UV properties are derived from JWST/NIRCam SW bands and/or HST/WFC3 near-IR bands. We utilize the NIRCam medium bands to constrain their (rest-frame) optical continua. We then measure their $\text{H}\alpha$ flux by combining the corresponding LW broad or medium bands. We further obtain their $\text{H}\alpha$ -related properties including the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ escape fraction and the ionizing photon production efficiency. The results are listed in Table 2.

3.1. UV Continua

We measure UV continua of the galaxies with the commonly used method (e.g., Pentericci et al. 2018; Jiang et al. 2020). As in these works, we assume a power-law form for the UV continuum of each source, i.e., $f_\lambda \propto \lambda^\beta$. As we work in AB magnitude units, we fit a linear relation $m_{\text{AB}} \propto (\beta + 2) \times \log(\lambda)$ to the SW photometric data from which we obtain the

⁴ <https://archive.stsci.edu/hlsp/candels>

⁵ <https://github.com/spacetelescope/jwst>

⁶ <https://github.com/gbrammer/grizli>

⁷ <https://drizzlepac.readthedocs.io/en/latest/astrodrizzle.html>




Figure 2. (a) Thumbnail images of the Ly α galaxies at $z \simeq 6$ in this work. Their ID names are marked at the right end of each row. The size of the images is $2'' \times 2''$ (north is up and east to the left). The corresponding band is marked at the top of each thumbnail image (HST/WFC3 bands in blue and JWST/NIRCam bands in red). The medium bands are shown in bold face. (b) Illustration of the measurement of the H α + [N II] line flux of the seven sources (shown in the left figure) including three sources covered by the PRIMER survey (the upper panel gives an example) and four sources covered by the UDF-MB survey (the lower panel gives an example). The boxes with error bars are the photometry in the NIRCam LW bands. They have the same colors as the transmission curves of the five NIRCam filters. The black lines represent the power-law optical continua and Gaussian profiles (FWHM = 300 km s $^{-1}$) of H α lines that boost the corresponding bands.

UV-continuum slope β_{UV} and the absolute UV magnitude M_{UV} at the rest-frame wavelength 1500 Å.

For a galaxy at $z \simeq 6$, its observed Ly α line is located in the wavelength range of the Subaru/ z' and JWST/F090W bands. The corresponding broadband flux usually differs from the flux level of the UV continuum due to the Ly α emission or break (IGM absorption bluewards of Ly α). So, in the measurements for UV continua, we abandon the F090W photometric data for SC-1 and SC-2. We then subtract the fit power-law UV continuum from the z' - or F090W-band photometry to constrain Ly α flux and $EW_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ for SC-1. The IGM continuum absorption blueward of the Ly α line is considered in the computation (Madau 1995). For SC-3–7, the CANDEZ7 galaxies, we directly adopt the observed Ly α flux given by P18 to compute the Ly α luminosity and $EW_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ with our obtained power-law UV continua. For SC-2, which is undetected in Ly α , we use the upper limit of $EW_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ given by P18 to compute its Ly α flux. Note that the Ly α flux may be slightly underestimated due to the potential Ly α emission from the circumgalactic medium (e.g., Cai et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2020).

3.2. H α Line Emission

We combine the medium and broad bands (covering the rest-frame optical wavelength at $z \simeq 6$) to estimate the flux and EW of H α emission lines. In Figure 2, the (red) colors of F410M – F444W and F430M – F460M clearly show the flux excess due to strong H α lines. In the F444W broad band, which covers the F410M, F430M, F460M, and F480M medium bands, H α + [N II] lines dominate the flux estimation

(e.g., Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben 2003). We thus ignore other optical lines except for H α + [N II]. We assume that [N II] contributes line flux at the H α wavelength due to the small wavelength difference relative to the wavelength range of $>4 \mu\text{m}$. We also assume that the emission line has a Gaussian profile with FWHM = 300 km s $^{-1}$ (see the black lines in Figure 2(b)).

SC-4–7 are covered by the UDF-MB survey with five NIRCam medium bands. For SC-4, 5, and 7, we use the F430M and F480M magnitudes (3σ upper limit for SC-7) to constrain the rest-frame optical continuum with a power-law form $f_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ because the H α + [N II] emission only falls into the F460M band. For SC-6, the H α + [N II] emission falls into the F460M and F480M bands. We thus match the continuum plus line model to the three LW medium-band photometric data. Figure 2(b) illustrates the above procedure in the lower panel. For these four sources, we obtain similar power-law indices with a median value of $\alpha \sim -0.6$. SC-1–3 are covered by the PRIMER NIRCam multiband (7 broad + 1 medium) observations. As no strong nebular lines fall into the F410M band, we use the F410M magnitude to constrain the (rest-frame) optical continuum and the F410M – F444W color to estimate the H α + [N II] flux. We start with a power-law continuum with an index of $\alpha_0 = -0.6$ (from the other four sources) to match the F410M flux density. Then, we integrate the known continuum plus unknown line emission weighted by the F444W filter transmission curve to match the F444M flux density and compute the H α + [N II] flux. We also vary the continuum slope α in a reasonable range of $\alpha_0 \pm 0.5$ to obtain the deviations of the measured line flux, which would be

Table 2
Measured Properties of the Ly α Galaxy Sample at $z \simeq 6$

ID	$z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$	β_{UV}	M_{UV}	$\log_{10} L(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ (erg s^{-1})	$\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ (\AA)	$f_{\text{esc}}(\text{Ly}\alpha)$	$\log_{10} L(\text{H}\alpha)$ (erg s^{-1})	$\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ (\AA)	$\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0}$ (Hz erg^{-1})	$\text{SFR}(\text{H}\alpha)$ ($M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
SC-1	6.087	-2.03	-20.11	43.16	147	0.066 ± 0.014	43.27 ± 0.09	2756 ± 596	26.45 ± 0.19	100 ± 22
SC-2	5.810	-1.46	-21.06	<41.92	<4	<0.03	42.35 ± 0.16	113 ± 40	25.15 ± 0.31	12 ± 4
SC-3	6.297	-2.53	-20.46	42.54	23	0.055 ± 0.011	42.72 ± 0.09	994 ± 204	25.77 ± 0.18	28 ± 6
SC-4	5.939	-1.73	-20.51	42.44	20	0.088 ± 0.013	42.42 ± 0.06	663 ± 94	25.45 ± 0.12	14 ± 2
SC-5	5.938	-1.88	-20.93	42.15	7	0.027 ± 0.004	42.64 ± 0.06	767 ± 102	25.49 ± 0.12	23 ± 3
SC-6	6.108	-2.00	-20.39	42.10	10	0.082 ± 0.013	42.11 ± 0.07	267 ± 42	25.18 ± 0.13	7 ± 1
SC-7	5.916	-3.01	-19.08	42.94	186	0.470 ± 0.085	42.20 ± 0.08	1261 ± 227	25.79 ± 0.16	8 ± 2

included in the errors of the final values. Figure 2(b) illustrates the above procedure in the upper panel.

After estimating the line flux, we assume that H α accounts for 85% of the H α + [N II] flux, which is similar to previous studies (e.g., Rasappu et al. 2016; Faisst et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2022). To be conservative, we also feed the 10% flux into the error of the final H α flux. With the measured flux of the H α line, we obtain the SFR(H α) using the canonical H α -SFR calibration relation (listed in Column 11 of Table 2; Hao et al. 2011; Murphy et al. 2011; Kennicutt & Evans 2012). We further compute the $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ with the rest-frame optical continuum level. The results are plotted in the second and third rows of Figure 3. Note that the H α flux and EW may be underestimated because the optical continuum is overestimated due to the existence of some faint optical lines. But such an underestimation is supposed to be included in the enlarged measurement errors. $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ indicates the specific SFR (sSFR) of galaxies. Our $z \simeq 6$ sample has a larger range of $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ than the low- z LAEs (Matthee et al. 2021) and local analogs (Yang et al. 2017). Recently, Sun et al. (2022) serendipitously found a sample of strong H α /[O III] emitters in the JWST/NIRCam wide-field slitless spectroscopy (WFSS) data. Our median $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ is twice higher than theirs because in our sample, the six of the seven galaxies emitting Ly α lines are supposed to have higher sSFR while the SF galaxies of Sun et al. (2022) are found based on H α /[O III] detections. SC-7 is undetected in Ly α and its $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$ is similar to the lowest one of the sample in Sun et al. (2022).

3.3. H α -related Properties

3.3.1. Escape Fraction of Ly α Photons

We estimate the escape fraction of Ly α photons ($f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$) for the sample. With the obtained H α flux, we adopt the canonical ratio $L(\text{Ly}\alpha)/L(\text{H}\alpha) = 8.7$ (e.g., Henry et al. 2015) to calculate the intrinsic Ly α flux and obtain

$$f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} = \frac{L_{\text{obs}}(\text{Ly}\alpha)}{L(\text{H}\alpha) \times 8.7} \quad (1)$$

under the assumption of Case B recombination in $T_e = 10^4 \text{K}$ (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). We also apply a dust correction using the reddening law of Calzetti et al. (2000). We cannot well constrain the extinction $E(B - V)$ for nebulae due to the lack of Balmer decrement (H α /H β) information. Thus, we perform SED fitting using BAGPIPES (Carnall et al. 2018) and obtain $A_V = 0.8$ for SC-2 thanks to the abundance of multiband photometric data for this source. For others, we adopt a lower and modest value of

$A_V = 0.4$ as a reasonable assumption because they have higher $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ with lower dust content. Note that A_V is supposed to be smaller for the six galaxies but the difference is only $\lesssim 0.1$ dex for the computed $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$. The $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ results are listed in Column 7 of Table 2. We can see that six of the seven galaxies have $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \lesssim 10\%$ even though they are spread over a large range of $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ and $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$. This may imply the upper limit of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ for most galaxies at $z \simeq 6$. Note that $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ is supposed to be smaller than the $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ (e.g., Dijkstra et al. 2016; Izotov et al. 2020). SC-7 has the largest value of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ reaching $\simeq 50\%$ while it is relatively faint in the rest-frame UV ($M_{\text{UV}} \simeq -19$) with an extremely blue UV slope ($\beta_{\text{UV}} \simeq -3$). In Section 4.1, we discuss the relevant, potential trends.

3.3.2. Production Efficiency of Ionizing Photons

With the measured flux of UV continua and H α line, we estimate the hydrogen-ionizing photon production efficiency ξ_{ion} by

$$\xi_{\text{ion}} = \frac{\dot{N}_{\text{ion}}}{L_{\nu}^{\text{UV}}}, \quad (2)$$

where \dot{N}_{ion} (s^{-1}) is the intrinsic production rate of hydrogen-ionizing photons from stellar populations and L_{ν}^{UV} ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$) is the (monochromatic) UV-continuum luminosity per photon frequency that can be derived from the above-measured M_{UV} . ξ_{ion} intrinsically depends on the assumed stellar population model (e.g., Robertson et al. 2013; Eldridge et al. 2017; Yung et al. 2020b). \dot{N}_{ion} can be computed from H α emission by

$$\dot{N}_{\text{ion}} = \frac{L(\text{H}\alpha)}{1 - f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}} \times 7.35 \times 10^{11} \text{erg}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

in the ($T_e = 10^4 \text{K}$) Case B recombination (Kennicutt et al. 1994; Leitherer & Heckman 1995; Madau et al. 1998). We then obtain the production efficiency of ionizing photons that do not escape from the galaxy, $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ assuming $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 0$.

The (dust-uncorrected) results are shown in the last row of Figure 3. Note that the dust-corrected values are lower by $\lesssim 0.1$ dex assuming the canonical stellar/nebular extinction ratio of 0.44, which is obtained from local starbursts (Calzetti et al. 2000). The $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ results are listed in Column 10 of Table 2. Our obtained $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ are distributed over a broad range of $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} \sim 25.0 - 26.5$. The median value is consistent with that of a large UV-faint galaxy sample from Prieto-Lyon et al. (2022). This median is also close to that of a H α -emitter sample




Figure 3. Comparison of the measured $H\alpha$ -related properties with the $Ly\alpha$ and UV properties. From top to bottom, we show the $Ly\alpha$ escape fraction, $H\alpha$ luminosity, rest-frame $H\alpha$ EW, and ionizing photon production efficiency. From left to right, we show the rest-frame UV magnitude, UV slope, $Ly\alpha$ luminosity, and rest-frame $Ly\alpha$ EW. We also compare the $Ly\alpha$ escape fraction with the measured $H\alpha$ properties in the rightmost column. The red circles indicate the $z \simeq 6$ galaxies measured by this work. The green squares represent the $z \sim 2$ LAEs from Matthee et al. (2021). The blue triangles correspond to the local Green Pea galaxies from Yang et al. (2017) and Kim et al. (2021). The red dotted lines indicate the best linear fits to the measured quantities (red circles).

from Sun et al. (2022). Among our sample, SC-1 is the most luminous in $Ly\alpha$ and has the highest $\xi_{ion,0}$ reaching $\log_{10} \xi_{ion,0} \sim 26.5$ while SC-2 is not detected in $Ly\alpha$ and has the lowest $\xi_{ion,0}$. We further give an extensive discussion in the next section.

4. Discussion

Thanks to the excellent capability of JWST (Rigby et al. 2022), we have measured the $H\alpha$ -related properties of the SF galaxies at $z \simeq 6$. In this section, we discuss the obtained properties of the galaxy sample. We compare our results with previous studies in Figure 3. The $H\alpha$ -related properties are assigned by rows and $Ly\alpha$ /UV quantities are assigned by columns. The $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ is assigned again at the rightmost column to compare with the $H\alpha$ -related properties.

4.1. $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ -UV Correlation

We compare the obtained $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ in the top row and rightmost column of Figure 3. The measured results show that $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$

positively correlates with $L(Ly\alpha)$ and $EW_0(Ly\alpha)$, and negatively correlates with M_{UV} and β_{UV} (in the top row). The positive trends are natural because $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ is inferred from the $Ly\alpha$ flux, which is similar to those found from the low- z samples (e.g., Hayes et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2017; Matthee et al. 2021). Yang et al. (2017) (and Kim et al. 2021) use a statistical sample of local Green Pea galaxies as high- z analogs to reveal that. Matthee et al. (2021) use an LAE sample at $z \sim 2$ and also found such a relation. For the negative trends of $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ changing as UV properties, we obtain a linear relation between $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ and M_{UV} ,

$$\log_{10} f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha} = (0.64 \pm 0.08)M_{UV} + (11.8 \pm 1.7), \quad (4)$$

shown as a red dotted line in panel 0-0; we also obtain another linear relation between $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ and β_{UV} ,

$$\log_{10} f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha} = (-0.66 \pm 0.23)\beta_{UV} - (2.5 \pm 0.5), \quad (5)$$

shown as a red dotted line in panel 0-1. The $f_{esc}^{Ly\alpha}$ - M_{UV} relation seems to exist in the current high- z sample, although the sign is weak for the two samples of $Ly\alpha$ galaxies at lower redshifts.




Figure 4. Redshift evolution of $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ for SF galaxies. The red circle symbols mark our results. Other symbols represent the literature studies including Bouwens et al. (2016), Nakajima et al. (2016), Stark et al. (2015), Stark et al. (2017), Izotov et al. (2017), Matthee et al. (2017a), Harikane et al. (2018), Faisst et al. (2019), Lam et al. (2019), Emami et al. (2020), Atek et al. (2022), Stefanon et al. (2022), Sun et al. (2022), and Endsley et al. (2022). The gray dashed line indicates the best-fit linear relation with a 1σ uncertainty region enclosed by the gray dotted lines.

Chisholm et al. (2022) found that $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}}$ increases for fainter M_{UV} using a sample of LyC-leaking SF galaxies at $z \simeq 0.3$, which is overall lower than our obtained $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} - M_{\text{UV}}$ trend. Such difference is consistent with the scenario of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}} \lesssim f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ (e.g., de Barros et al. 2016; Dijkstra et al. 2016).

The $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} - \beta_{\text{UV}}$ trend may exist at $z \simeq 6$ like at $z \sim 2$ (e.g., Prieto-Lyon et al. 2022; Snapp-Kolas et al. 2022). The reason can be simply interpreted as bluer galaxies having a younger stellar population and/or lower dust content. They emit harder ionizing photons suffering lower extinction, which accounts for the higher escape fraction of Ly α photons. The $z \simeq 6$ trend is steeper, which is consistent with the current consensus that galaxies at higher redshift are bluer (e.g., Bouwens et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2020). Chisholm et al. (2022) show that $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}}$ scales strongly with β_{UV} for the LyC-leaker sample at $z \simeq 0.3$, while those with $\beta_{\text{UV}} = -2$ have an averaged $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}} \lesssim 5\%$, which is smaller than $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \lesssim 10\%$ estimated from our linear fit (panel 0–1 of Figure 3). SC-7 is the bluest one in our sample with $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \sim 50\%$. This galaxy and the luminous LAEs with very blue UV continua ($\beta_{\text{UV}} \lesssim 3$) reported by Jiang et al. (2020) are supposed to be strong LyC leakers to contribute ionizing photons. They are thus excellent targets to carry out JWST IR spectroscopic follow-up observations for the ionization lines.

4.2. $\xi_{\text{ion},0} - \text{Ly}\alpha$ Correlation

Panel 3–2 (3–3) of Figure 3 shows a potential correlation between the $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ and Ly α luminosity (or Ly α equivalent width) for the $z \simeq 6$ sample in this work. We obtain a linear relation between $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ and $L(\text{Ly}\alpha)$:

$$\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} = (0.87 \pm 0.19) \log_{10} L(\text{Ly}\alpha) - (11.3 \pm 8.2), \quad (6)$$

shown as a red dotted line in panel 3–2. Saldana-Lopez et al. (2022) also found a similar trend in a sample of SF galaxies at $3 \leq z \leq 5$. We notice that such correlations are not always retained for the LAEs at $z \sim 2$ from Matthee et al. (2021), especially for those with a relatively bright Ly α flux. A direct reason is that the $z \sim 2$ LAEs in Matthee et al. (2021) generally have higher $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$, even reaching $\gtrsim 1$. Matthee et al. (2017b) also obtained that several galaxies have $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \gtrsim 1$, and they explained it using mechanisms including, for example, Ly α emission being produced by a different mechanism (like cooling radiation; Dijkstra 2014). We look forward to comparing their results updated with the additional H α information.

The $\xi_{\text{ion},0} - L(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ trend can be reasonably expected because the harder ionizing radiation of more young stellar populations (higher sSFR shown by the panel 2–2) tend to produce more ionizing photons per non-ionizing photons (e.g., Balestra et al. 2013; Mainali et al. 2017) and ionize the ISM more efficiently, eventually enhancing Ly α production, transfer, and escape. Note that $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ can be inferred to be proportional to $\xi_{\text{ion}} \times f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ (e.g., Harikane et al. 2018). This trend implies that galaxies with $\log_{10} L(\text{Ly}\alpha) \gtrsim 43.5$ (at the bright end of the Ly α luminosity function) may have a high ionizing photon production efficiency reaching $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} \gtrsim 27$. Conversely speaking, SF galaxies with low $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ ($\lesssim 25$) may tend to emit negligible Ly α emission. If such a trend exists, the very (Ly α) luminous galaxies could fully ionize their surrounding neutral H I gas with a modest or even a small $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{LyC}}$ value. This situation is also consistent with the scenario that luminous Ly α galaxies in the EoR power large ionizing bubbles (e.g., Zheng et al. 2017; Yajima et al. 2018; Hu et al. 2021; Ning et al. 2022).

4.3. Implications of High ξ_{ion}

We plot the redshift evolution of $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ for the SF galaxies in Figure 4. The red circle symbols indicate our results for the Ly α galaxies at the end of EoR. The figure shows that the $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ of SF galaxies increases with redshift. Among the seven Ly α galaxies, three of them (SC-1, 3, and 7) have high production efficiency of ionizing photons of $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} \gtrsim 26$. This fact reveals the possibility that a portion of galaxies has high values of ξ_{ion} . Maseda et al. (2020) also found an elevated mean ξ_{ion} ($\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion}} \gtrsim 26$) in a sample of UV-faint and high-EW LAEs at $z \approx 4-5$. Finkelstein et al. (2019) presented a reionization model dominated by low-mass and UV-faint galaxies, which would require such high ξ_{ion} . Our results provide observational evidence. The high values of ξ_{ion} have not been well explained in galaxy simulations. For example, Wilkins et al. (2016) explored the ξ_{ion} range of EoR galaxies in the BlueTides simulation, in which they used low-metallicity stellar population (with binary systems) models to obtain their highest ξ_{ion} of $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion}} \lesssim 26$. Yung et al. (2020b) could not also provide such high ξ_{ion} with the semianalytical models of galaxy formation. Note that their models only predict a rather weak dependence of ξ_{ion} with redshift, which means we have not understood well the physical processes responsible for the redshift evolution shown in Figure 4 (the gray lines). Meanwhile, a larger sample of fainter galaxies is necessary to be built to overcome the sampling bias at the higher redshift ($z > 5$).

The median $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ of our Ly α galaxy sample is consistent with the overall trend (gray lines). Previous results indicate that EoR SF galaxies with $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ on the overall trend can provide enough ionizing photon budget (e.g., Harikane et al. 2018; Stefanon et al. 2022). Our sample implies that Ly α galaxies may also play a similar role and contribute to the total balance of ionizing photons at $z \approx 6$. Note that the most luminous Ly α galaxy in the sample, SC-1 (identified by our Magellan M2FS survey), has a very high $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ ($\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} \sim 26.5$) relative to other SF galaxies even though its Ly α luminosity of $\log_{10} L(\text{Ly}\alpha) \simeq 43$ is only a little larger than the characteristic luminosity of Ly α luminosity function (e.g., Hu et al. 2010; Kashikawa et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2017; Ning et al. 2022). Its nature needs to be revealed using further spectroscopic observations. Our results support that Ly α galaxies, especially those with intrinsically high $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$, may significantly contribute ionizing photons during the EoR. We look forward to comparing our findings with the ionizing photon production efficiency estimated for other Ly α -luminous galaxies (from, e.g., Ning et al. 2020, 2022), which will be partially covered by the JWST observations.

5. Summary

In this work, we present a pilot study of a spectroscopically confirmed sample of (Ly α -emitting) galaxies at redshift $z \approx 6$ based on the JWST/NIRCam imaging data. The sample includes seven targets: one identified by our Magellan/M2FS spectroscopic survey and six observed by the previous CANDELSz7 survey. All seven sources we analyze are Lyman-break galaxies showing large differences in their Ly α luminosity, ranging from no observed Ly α line up to a strong Ly α line of $\log_{10} L(\text{Ly}\alpha) \simeq 43.3$. These objects have been covered by two JWST/NIRCam imaging surveys, PRIMER and UDF-MB, which employ a series of SW and LW bands.

We have obtained their Ly α - and H α -related properties by combining the NIRCam broad and/or medium bands. Based on

the results, we also revealed the potential correlations among the properties of Ly α galaxies at $z \approx 6$. We summarize our findings as follows:

1. Six of seven galaxies have Ly α escape fractions of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \lesssim 10\%$ regardless of their $\text{EW}_0(\text{Ly}\alpha)$ and $\text{EW}_0(\text{H}\alpha)$, which might be the status for most of the star-forming galaxies at $z \approx 6$.
2. One Ly α galaxy, which is relatively faint in the rest-frame UV ($M_{\text{UV}} \simeq -19$) with an extremely blue UV slope ($\beta_{\text{UV}} \simeq -3$), has a Ly α escape fraction reaching $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{Ly}\alpha} \simeq 50\%$.
3. Ly α galaxies with fainter rest-frame UV continua and/or bluer UV slopes tend to have higher escape fractions of Ly α photons (Equations (4) and (5)).
4. Our sample is spread over a broad range of the ionizing photon production efficiency over $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} \sim 25.0-26.5$ with a median value close to those of the galaxy samples at similar redshifts from other studies.
5. Galaxies with more luminous Ly α emission probably have higher production efficiencies of ionizing photons (Equation (6)).
6. Our identified source (SC-1 analyzed in this study), which is very luminous in Ly α , has a very high ionizing photon production efficiency of $\log_{10} \xi_{\text{ion},0} (\text{Hz erg}^{-1}) > 26$. Its nature merits further investigation.

Our results agree with the scenario in which Ly α galaxies may serve as a significant contributor to cosmic reionization. The bluer and/or more luminous Ly α galaxies are ideal targets for JWST spectroscopic follow-up observations. We need a larger sample of Ly α galaxies observed by JWST for further analysis on the reionization sources.

We acknowledge support from the National Key R&D Program of China (grant No. 2018YFA0404503), the National Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 12073014, 11721303, and 11890693), and the science research grants from the China Manned Space Project with No. CMS-CSST-2021-A05 and No. CMS-CSST-2021-A07. We also acknowledge the support from the Shuimu Tsinghua Scholar Program of Tsinghua University. We also thank the anonymous referee for the constructive comments and suggestions that improved this Letter.

This work is based on the observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope and NASA/ESA/CSA James Webb Space Telescope. The HST observations are associated with the Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS) program. JWST data are obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555 for HST and NAS 5-03127 for JWST. The JWST observations are associated with programs GO 1837 and GO 1963.

Facilities: HST (WFC3/IR), JWST (NIRCam).

ORCID iDs

Yuanhang Ning  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9442-1217>
 Zheng Cai  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8467-6478>
 Linhua Jiang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4176-6486>
 Xiaojing Lin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6052-4234>
 Shuqi Fu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0964-7188>
 Daniele Spinoso  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9074-4833>

References

- Anders, P., & Fritze-v. Alvensleben, U. 2003, *A&A*, 401, 1063
- Arrabal Haro, P., Rodríguez Espinosa, J. M., Muñoz-Tuñón, C., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 495, 1807
- Atek, H., Furtak, L. J., Oesch, P., et al. 2022, *MNRAS*, 511, 4464
- Balestra, I., Vanzella, E., Rosati, P., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 559, L9
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393
- Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., Oesch, P. A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, 115
- Bouwens, R. J., Smit, R., Labbé, I., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 831, 176
- Cai, Z., Cantalupo, S., Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, 245, 23
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 682
- Carnall, A. C., McLure, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., & Davé, R. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 4379
- Casey, C. M., Kartaltepe, J. S., Drakos, N. E., et al. 2022, arXiv:2211.07865
- Chisholm, J., Saldana-Lopez, A., Flury, S., et al. 2022, *MNRAS*, 517, 5104
- Dayal, P., & Ferrara, A. 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 2568
- de Barros, S., Vanzella, E., Amorín, R., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 585, A51
- de La Vieuville, G., Pelló, R., Richard, J., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 644, A39
- Dijkstra, M. 2014, *PASA*, 31, e040
- Dijkstra, M., Gronke, M., & Venkatesan, A. 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 71
- Dunlop, J. S., Abraham, R. G., Ashby, M. L. N., et al. 2021, PRIMER: Public Release IMaging for Extragalactic Research, JWST Proposal, 1837
- Eldridge, J. J., Stanway, E. R., Xiao, L., et al. 2017, *PASA*, 34, e058
- Emami, N., Siana, B., Alavi, A., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 895, 116
- Endsley, R., Stark, D. P., Whitler, L., et al. 2022, arXiv:2208.14999
- Faisst, A. L., Capak, P., Hsieh, B. C., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 821, 122
- Faisst, A. L., Capak, P. L., Emami, N., Tacchella, S., & Larson, K. L. 2019, *ApJ*, 884, 133
- Finkelstein, S. L., D'Aloisio, A., Paardekooper, J.-P., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 879, 36
- Flury, S. R., Jaskot, A. E., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 930, 126
- Galametz, A., Grazian, A., Fontana, A., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, 206, 10
- Gardner, J. P., Mather, J. C., Clampin, M., et al. 2006, *SSRv*, 123, 485
- Grogin, N. A., Kocevski, D. D., Faber, S. M., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 35
- Guo, Y., Ferguson, H. C., Giavalisco, M., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 24
- Hao, C.-N., Huang, J.-S., Xia, X., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 145
- Hao, C.-N., Kennicutt, R. C., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 124
- Harikane, Y., Ouchi, M., Shibuya, T., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 859, 84
- Hayes, M., Östlin, G., Duval, F., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 6
- Henry, A., Scarlata, C., Martin, C. L., & Erb, D. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 19
- Hu, E. M., Cowie, L. L., Barger, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 394
- Hu, W., Wang, J., Infante, L., et al. 2021, *NatAs*, 5, 485
- Inami, H., Bacon, R., Brinchmann, J., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 608, A2
- Izotov, Y. I., Guseva, N. G., Fricke, K. J., Henkel, C., & Schaerer, D. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 4118
- Izotov, Y. I., Schaerer, D., Thuan, T. X., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 3683
- Izotov, Y. I., Schaerer, D., Worseck, G., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 491, 468
- Jiang, L., Cohen, S. H., Windhorst, R. A., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 90
- Jiang, L., Finlator, K., Cohen, S. H., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 16
- Jiang, L., Ning, Y., Fan, X., et al. 2022, *NatAs*, 6, 850
- Jiang, L., Shen, Y., Bian, F., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 134
- Jones, T., Stark, D. P., & Ellis, R. S. 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 51
- Kartaltepe, J., Casey, C. M., Bagley, M., et al. 2021, COSMOS-Webb: The Webb Cosmic Origins Survey, JWST Proposal, 1727
- Kashikawa, N., Shimasaku, K., Malkan, M. A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 648, 7
- Kashikawa, N., Shimasaku, K., Matsuda, Y., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 734, 119
- Kennicutt, R. C., & Evans, N. J. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 531
- Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., Tamblyn, P., & Congdon, C. E. 1994, *ApJ*, 435, 22
- Kim, K. J., Malhotra, S., Rhoads, J. E., & Yang, H. 2021, *ApJ*, 914, 2
- Koekemoer, A. M., Faber, S. M., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 36
- Lam, D., Bouwens, R. J., Labbé, I., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 627, A164
- Leitherer, C., & Heckman, T. M. 1995, *ApJS*, 96, 9
- Leitherer, C., Hernandez, S., Lee, J. C., & Oey, M. S. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 64
- Madau, P. 1995, *ApJ*, 441, 18
- Madau, P., Pozzetti, L., & Dickinson, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 106
- Mainali, R., Kollmeier, J. A., Stark, D. P., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, 836, L14
- Maseda, M. V., Bacon, R., Lam, D., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 5120
- Mateo, M., Bailey, J. I., Crane, J., et al. 2012, *Proc. SPIE*, 8446, 84464Y
- Matthee, J., Sobral, D., Best, P., et al. 2017a, *MNRAS*, 465, 3637
- Matthee, J., Sobral, D., Darvish, B., et al. 2017b, *MNRAS*, 472, 772
- Matthee, J., Sobral, D., Hayes, M., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 1382
- Murphy, E. J., Condon, J. J., Schinnerer, E., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 67
- Nakajima, K., Ellis, R. S., Iwata, I., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 831, L9
- Ning, Y., Jiang, L., Zheng, Z.-Y., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 903, 4
- Ning, Y., Jiang, L., Zheng, Z.-Y., & Wu, J. 2022, *ApJ*, 926, 230
- Oke, J. B. 1974, *ApJS*, 27, 21
- Osterbrock, D. E., & Ferland, G. J. 2006, *Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei* (Sausalito, CA: Univ. Science Books)
- Pentericci, L., Vanzella, E., Castellano, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A147
- Prieto-Lyon, G., Strait, V., Mason, C. A., et al. 2022, arXiv:2211.12548
- Rasappu, N., Smit, R., Labbé, I., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 3886
- Rigby, J., Perrin, M., McElwain, M., et al. 2022, arXiv:2207.05632
- Robertson, B. E., Ellis, R. S., Furlanetto, S. R., & Dunlop, J. S. 2015, *ApJL*, 802, L19
- Robertson, B. E., Furlanetto, S. R., Schneider, E., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 71
- Saldana-Lopez, A., Schaerer, D., Chisholm, J., et al. 2022, arXiv:2211.01351
- Santos, S., Sobral, D., Matthee, J., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 141
- Schaerer, D., & de Barros, S. 2009, *A&A*, 502, 423
- Shapley, A. E., Steidel, C. C., Strom, A. L., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 826, L24
- Shibuya, T., Ouchi, M., Harikane, Y., et al. 2018, *PASJ*, 70, S15
- Snapp-Kolas, C., Siana, B., Gburek, T., et al. 2022, arXiv:2211.00041
- Stark, D. P., Ellis, R. S., Charlot, S., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 469
- Stark, D. P., Walth, G., Charlot, S., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 454, 1393
- Stefanon, M., Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 935, 94
- Stefanon, M., Labbé, I., Oesch, P. A., et al. 2021, *ApJS*, 257, 68
- Steidel, C. C., Giavalisco, M., Dickinson, M., & Adelberger, K. L. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 352
- Sun, F., Egami, E., Pirzkal, N., et al. 2022, arXiv:2209.03374
- Taylor, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Barger, A. J., Hu, E. M., & Songaila, A. 2021, *ApJ*, 914, 79
- Verhamme, A., Orlitová, I., Schaerer, D., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 597, A13
- Verhamme, A., Orlitová, I., Schaerer, D., & Hayes, M. 2015, *A&A*, 578, A7
- Wilkins, S. M., Feng, Y., DiMatteo, T., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, L6
- Williams, C. C., Maseda, M., Tacchella, S., et al. 2021, UDF Medium Band Survey: Using H-alpha Emission to Reconstruct Ly-alpha Escape during the Epoch of Reionization, JWST Proposal, 1963
- Wu, J., Jiang, L., & Ning, Y. 2020, *ApJ*, 891, 105
- Yajima, H., Sugimura, K., & Hasegawa, K. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 5406
- Yang, H., Malhotra, S., Gronke, M., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 171
- Yung, L. Y. A., Somerville, R. S., Finkelstein, S. L., et al. 2020a, *MNRAS*, 496, 4574
- Yung, L. Y. A., Somerville, R. S., Popping, G., & Finkelstein, S. L. 2020b, *MNRAS*, 494, 1002
- Zheng, Z.-Y., Wang, J., Rhoads, J., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, 842, L22